

# Introduction to Rigid Analytic Geometry

Yunhai Xiang

June 8, 2024

Motivations

Affinoid Domains

Tate Uniformization

For an algebraic variety  $X/\mathbb{C}$ , one associates its analytification

$$X^{\text{an}} = X(\mathbb{C})$$

a complex analytic space (a complex manifold when  $X$  smooth).

For an algebraic variety  $X/\mathbb{C}$ , one associates its analytification

$$X^{\text{an}} = X(\mathbb{C})$$

a complex analytic space (a complex manifold when  $X$  smooth).

What I want to convince you: analytification is very useful.

## Example

Suppose  $X/\mathbb{C}$  a smooth algebraic curve of genus  $g$ , then  $X^{\text{an}}$  is a complex manifold of dimension 1, i.e. a Riemann surface, of genus  $g$ . In particular, when  $X$  is an elliptic curve,  $X^{\text{an}}$  is a torus.

## Theorem (Uniformization theorem)

*The only simply connected Riemann surfaces are the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ , the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the upper-half plane  $\mathbb{H}$ .*

Thus, we have the correspondence

genus	$X$	$X^{\text{an}}$
0	conic section	$\mathbb{C}P^1$
1	elliptic curve	$\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$
$\geq 2$	modular curve	$\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$

where  $\Lambda$  a lattice, and  $\Gamma$  a congruence subgroup (congruent to  $I$  mod some  $N$ ) of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acting by the Mobius transformation.

Moreover, Serre showed a collection of results (GAGA) relating coherent sheaves on a variety  $X/\mathbb{C}$  to that of  $X^{\text{an}}$ .



Moreover, Serre showed a collection of results (GAGA) relating coherent sheaves on a variety  $X/\mathbb{C}$  to that of  $X^{\text{an}}$ .

### Theorem (Serre)

*For algebraic variety  $X/\mathbb{C}$ , we have equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Coh}(X) \simeq \text{Coh}(X^{\text{an}})$$

*Moreover, there are isomorphisms*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^q(X^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}})$$

*for each coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ .*

## Definition

Recall a norm on a field  $K$  is a function  $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that

1.  $|x| = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ ,
2.  $|xy| = |x||y|$ ,
3.  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$ ,

for all  $x, y \in K$ , and moreover it is non-archimedean if

$$|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$$

for all  $x, y \in K$  (and archimedean otherwise).

## Definition

Recall a norm on a field  $K$  is a function  $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that

1.  $|x| = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ ,
2.  $|xy| = |x||y|$ ,
3.  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$ ,

for all  $x, y \in K$ , and moreover it is non-archimedean if

$$|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$$

for all  $x, y \in K$  (and archimedean otherwise). The completion  $\widehat{K}$  of a normed field  $K$  is its completion as a metric space.

## Definition

Recall a norm on a field  $K$  is a function  $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that

1.  $|x| = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ ,
2.  $|xy| = |x||y|$ ,
3.  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$ ,

for all  $x, y \in K$ , and moreover it is non-archimedean if

$$|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$$

for all  $x, y \in K$  (and archimedean otherwise). The completion  $\widehat{K}$  of a normed field  $K$  is its completion as a metric space.

The complex numbers are constructed by  $\mathbb{C} = \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$

The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  has a non-archimedean analogue  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  has a non-archimedean analogue  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

### Theorem (Ostrowski)

*The nontrivial norms on  $\mathbb{Q}$  are precisely the archimedean norm  $|\cdot|$  and the nonarchimedean  $p$ -adic norms  $|\cdot|_p$ . Given by*

$$|n|_p = p^{-\text{ord}_p(n)}$$

*Thus the completions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are precisely  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for each prime  $p$ .*

The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  has a non-archimedean analogue  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

### Theorem (Ostrowski)

*The nontrivial norms on  $\mathbb{Q}$  are precisely the archimedean norm  $|\cdot|$  and the nonarchimedean  $p$ -adic norms  $|\cdot|_p$ . Given by*

$$|n|_p = p^{-\text{ord}_p(n)}$$

*Thus the completions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are precisely  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for each prime  $p$ .*

However, the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is not complete, so one has to complete it again  $\mathbb{C}_p = \widehat{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  to get a complete and algebraically closed non-archimedean field (Krasner's theorem).

Is there an analogue of complex analytic space over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ?



Is there an analogue of complex analytic space over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ?

Is there a uniformization theorem or GAGA for this analogue?

There are many such analogous theories!

There are many such analogous theories!

1. Tate's theory of rigid analytic spaces,

There are many such analogous theories!

1. Tate's theory of rigid analytic spaces,
2. Berkovich's theory of Berkovich spaces,

There are many such analogous theories!

1. Tate's theory of rigid analytic spaces,
2. Berkovich's theory of Berkovich spaces,
3. Huber's theory of Adic spaces,

There are many such analogous theories!

1. Tate's theory of rigid analytic spaces,
2. Berkovich's theory of Berkovich spaces,
3. Huber's theory of Adic spaces,
4. Raynaud's theory of formal schemes,

There are many such analogous theories!

1. Tate's theory of rigid analytic spaces,
2. Berkovich's theory of Berkovich spaces,
3. Huber's theory of Adic spaces,
4. Raynaud's theory of formal schemes,
5. Other approaches: Scholze, Fujiwara-Kato, etc.

There are many such analogous theories!

1. Tate's theory of rigid analytic spaces,
2. Berkovich's theory of Berkovich spaces,
3. Huber's theory of Adic spaces,
4. Raynaud's theory of formal schemes,
5. Other approaches: Scholze, Fujiwara-Kato, etc.

We will only talk about Tate's approach.



The function

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |z|_p \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |z|_p > 1 \end{cases}$$

is locally constant, so continuous.

The function

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |z|_p \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |z|_p > 1 \end{cases}$$

is locally constant, so continuous.

This is bad! Many complex analysis properties fail.

The function

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |z|_p \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |z|_p > 1 \end{cases}$$

is locally constant, so continuous.

This is bad! Many complex analysis properties fail.

1. no analytic continuation,

The function

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |z|_p \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |z|_p > 1 \end{cases}$$

is locally constant, so continuous.

This is bad! Many complex analysis properties fail.

1. no analytic continuation,
2. no maximum modulus principle,

The function

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |z|_p \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |z|_p > 1 \end{cases}$$

is locally constant, so continuous.

This is bad! Many complex analysis properties fail.

1. no analytic continuation,
2. no maximum modulus principle,
3. no global power series expansion.

The function

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |z|_p \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |z|_p > 1 \end{cases}$$

is locally constant, so continuous.

This is bad! Many complex analysis properties fail.

1. no analytic continuation,
2. no maximum modulus principle,
3. no global power series expansion.

We must eliminate these bad functions.

## Definition

Let  $K$  a (complete) non-archimedean field, define the Tate algebra

$$K\langle X_1, \dots, X_r \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} a_n \underline{X}^n : a_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |n| \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

An affinoid  $K$ -algebra is a quotient  $K\langle X_1, \dots, X_r \rangle / I$ .

## Definition

A  $G$ -topology on a set  $X$  is the data of: a set of subsets  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  called the admissible opens



## Definition

A  $G$ -topology on a set  $X$  is the data of: a set of subsets  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  called the admissible opens, and for each admissible open  $U$  a set  $\text{Cov}(U)$  of families of admissible opens  $\text{Cov}(U) = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , called admissible covers of  $U$ , s.t.

## Definition

A  $G$ -topology on a set  $X$  is the data of: a set of subsets  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  called the admissible opens, and for each admissible open  $U$  a set  $\text{Cov}(U)$  of families of admissible opens  $\text{Cov}(U) = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , called admissible covers of  $U$ , s.t.

1. if  $U, V$  are admissible opens then so is  $U \cap V$ ,

## Definition

A  $G$ -topology on a set  $X$  is the data of: a set of subsets  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  called the admissible opens, and for each admissible open  $U$  a set  $\text{Cov}(U)$  of families of admissible opens  $\text{Cov}(U) = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , called admissible covers of  $U$ , s.t.

1. if  $U, V$  are admissible opens then so is  $U \cap V$ ,
2.  $\{U\} \in \text{Cov}(U)$  for each admissible open  $U$ ,

## Definition

A  $G$ -topology on a set  $X$  is the data of: a set of subsets  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  called the admissible opens, and for each admissible open  $U$  a set  $\text{Cov}(U)$  of families of admissible opens  $\text{Cov}(U) = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , called admissible covers of  $U$ , s.t.

1. if  $U, V$  are admissible opens then so is  $U \cap V$ ,
2.  $\{U\} \in \text{Cov}(U)$  for each admissible open  $U$ ,
3. if  $U$  is an admissible open, and  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  an admissible cover, and  $\{V_{i,j}\}_{j \in J}$  an admissible cover for  $U_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\{V_{i,j}\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$  is an admissible cover for  $U$ ,

## Definition

A  $G$ -topology on a set  $X$  is the data of: a set of subsets  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  called the admissible opens, and for each admissible open  $U$  a set  $\text{Cov}(U)$  of families of admissible opens  $\text{Cov}(U) = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , called admissible covers of  $U$ , s.t.

1. if  $U, V$  are admissible opens then so is  $U \cap V$ ,
2.  $\{U\} \in \text{Cov}(U)$  for each admissible open  $U$ ,
3. if  $U$  is an admissible open, and  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  an admissible cover, and  $\{V_{i,j}\}_{j \in J}$  an admissible cover for  $U_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\{V_{i,j}\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$  is an admissible cover for  $U$ ,
4. if  $U, V$  are admissible opens such that  $V \subseteq U$ , and  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an admissible cover of  $U$ , then  $\{V \cap U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an admissible cover for  $U$ .

## Definition

A  $G$ -topology on a set  $X$  is the data of: a set of subsets  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  called the admissible opens, and for each admissible open  $U$  a set  $\text{Cov}(U)$  of families of admissible opens  $\text{Cov}(U) = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ , called admissible covers of  $U$ , s.t.

1. if  $U, V$  are admissible opens then so is  $U \cap V$ ,
2.  $\{U\} \in \text{Cov}(U)$  for each admissible open  $U$ ,
3. if  $U$  is an admissible open, and  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  an admissible cover, and  $\{V_{i,j}\}_{j \in J}$  an admissible cover for  $U_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\{V_{i,j}\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$  is an admissible cover for  $U$ ,
4. if  $U, V$  are admissible opens such that  $V \subseteq U$ , and  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an admissible cover of  $U$ , then  $\{V \cap U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an admissible cover for  $U$ .

This is a special case of a Grothendieck topology on a category.

Recall that a sheaf on a category with a Grothendieck topology  $\mathcal{C}$  is a presheaf, i.e. contravariant functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , such that

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{F}(X_\alpha) \rightrightarrows \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in A} \mathcal{F}(X_\alpha \times_X X_\beta)$$

is an equalizer diagram.

Recall that a sheaf on a category with a Grothendieck topology  $\mathcal{C}$  is a presheaf, i.e. contravariant functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , such that

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{F}(X_\alpha) \rightrightarrows \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in A} \mathcal{F}(X_\alpha \times_X X_\beta)$$

is an equalizer diagram.

Since the G-topology is a special case of Grothendieck topology, we can define sheaves on a G-topological space  $X$ , and a G-ringed spaces  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  as a G-topological space with sheaf of rings.



Let  $A$  be an affinoid  $K$ -algebra.

Let  $A$  be an affinoid  $K$ -algebra.

An affinoid domain  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$  is a  $G$ -ringed space such that,

Let  $A$  be an affinoid  $K$ -algebra.

An affinoid domain  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$  is a  $G$ -ringed space such that,

1. its underlying set is the maximal ideals of  $A$ ,

Let  $A$  be an affinoid  $K$ -algebra.

An affinoid domain  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$  is a  $G$ -ringed space such that,

1. its underlying set is the maximal ideals of  $A$ ,
2. is equipped with a  $G$ -topology called the admissible topology,

Let  $A$  be an affinoid  $K$ -algebra.

An affinoid domain  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$  is a  $G$ -ringed space such that,

1. its underlying set is the maximal ideals of  $A$ ,
2. is equipped with a  $G$ -topology called the admissible topology,
3. is equipped with a sheaf of functions  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Sp}(A)}$ .

Let  $A$  be an affinoid  $K$ -algebra.

An affinoid domain  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$  is a  $G$ -ringed space such that,

1. its underlying set is the maximal ideals of  $A$ ,
2. is equipped with a  $G$ -topology called the admissible topology,
3. is equipped with a sheaf of functions  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Sp}(A)}$ .

A rigid analytic space defined by gluing affinoid domains, i.e. it is a  $G$ -ringed space locally isomorphic to affinoid domains.

Completely analogous to the archimedean case, every algebraic variety  $X/\mathbb{C}_p$  has an analytification  $X^{\text{rig}}$  as a rigid analytic space.

Completely analogous to the archimedean case, every algebraic variety  $X/\mathbb{C}_p$  has an analytification  $X^{\text{rig}}$  as a rigid analytic space.

However, there is generally no universal covers in rigid geometry, as almost nothing is simply connected: there is no uniformization!



Completely analogous to the archimedean case, every algebraic variety  $X/\mathbb{C}_p$  has an analytification  $X^{\text{rig}}$  as a rigid analytic space.

However, there is generally no universal covers in rigid geometry, as almost nothing is simply connected: there is no uniformization!

The good news is there is a rigid analytic analogue of GAGA.

Completely analogous to the archimedean case, every algebraic variety  $X/\mathbb{C}_p$  has an analytification  $X^{\text{rig}}$  as a rigid analytic space.

However, there is generally no universal covers in rigid geometry, as almost nothing is simply connected: there is no uniformization!

The good news is there is a rigid analytic analogue of GAGA.

And there is a so called Tate uniformization.

For an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$E \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})$$

For an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$E \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})$$

The universal cover factors

$$\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi iz}} \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times / q^\mathbb{Z} \cong E$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$  satisfies  $0 < |q| < 1$ .

Analogously, if  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$  satisfies  $0 < |q|_p < 1$ , then the quotient

$$E = \mathbb{C}_p^\times / q^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is an elliptic curve.

Analogously, if  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$  satisfies  $0 < |q|_p < 1$ , then the quotient

$$E = \mathbb{C}_p^\times / q^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is an elliptic curve. Moreover, by a theorem of Tate, an elliptic curve can be obtained this way iff it has bad reduction.

Rigid analytic geometry has been used in the following:

Rigid analytic geometry has been used in the following:

1.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory,



Rigid analytic geometry has been used in the following:

1.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory,
2. Moduli space of curves,

Rigid analytic geometry has been used in the following:

1.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory,
2. Moduli space of curves,
3. Mirror symmetry,

Rigid analytic geometry has been used in the following:

1.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory,
2. Moduli space of curves,
3. Mirror symmetry,
4. Semistable reductions,

Rigid analytic geometry has been used in the following:

1.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory,
2. Moduli space of curves,
3. Mirror symmetry,
4. Semistable reductions,
5. Raynaud's proof of Abhyankar's conjecture (constructing finite étale covers of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1$  with given Galois group),

Rigid analytic geometry has been used in the following:

1.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory,
2. Moduli space of curves,
3. Mirror symmetry,
4. Semistable reductions,
5. Raynaud's proof of Abhyankar's conjecture (constructing finite étale covers of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1$  with given Galois group),

and many more.

Thank you!