

Galois Category & Étale Fundamental Group

Yunhai Xiang

April 12, 2022

Final presentation for PMATH 965 *algebraic stacks* of Winter 2022

Supervised by Prof. Matthew Satriano at University of Waterloo

Table of contents

1. Motivation
2. Galois category
3. Finite étale morphism
4. Étale fundamental group

Motivation

We begin by some motivations from algebraic topology.

Recall the fundamental group of a topological space X at $x \in X$

$$\pi_1(x, X) = \{\text{cont. } \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \text{ where } \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x\} / \sim$$

where $\gamma \sim \tau$ iff their homotopic, with concatenation as group operation.
Recall a covering space $p : E \rightarrow X$ is a fiber bundle with discrete fibers.

It is shown that the fundamental group is isomorphic to the group of deck transformations of the universal covering space.

In fact, there is a well known stronger result.

Theorem 1.1 (fundamental theorem of covering spaces)

Suppose X is path-connected, locally path-connected, and semi-locally simply-connected topological space, then there is an equivalence between

- i. the category of covering spaces of X , and*
- ii. the category of $\pi_1(x, X)$ -sets, and*
- iii. the category of subgroups of $\pi_1(x, X)$ up to conjugacy.*

This is strikingly reminiscent of Galois theory!

Recall that given a Galois extension E/F , the Galois group

$$\text{Gal}(E/F) = \{\text{isom. } \alpha : E \rightarrow E : \forall x \in F, \alpha(x) = x\}$$

with composition as group operation.

The fundamental theorem of Galois theory reveals a correspondence between Galois extensions and subgroups of the Galois group, which resembles the fundamental theorem of covering spaces.

Theorem 1.2 (fundamental theorem of Galois theory)

Suppose k is a field with $K = \bar{k}$, then there is an equivalence between

- i. the category of Galois extensions of k , and*
- ii. the category $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -sets, and*
- iii. the category of subgroups of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$.*

This is a categorical reformulation of the well known version.

We observe that X is analogous to Y , where

X	Y
Galois extensions	covering spaces
algebraic closure	universal covering space
Galois group	fundamental group
k -automorphisms	deck transformations
fun'l theorem of Galois theory	fun'l theorem of covering spaces

There is only a superficial difference between the two fundamental theorems: one isomorphism is covariant and the other is contravariant.

We will discuss *étale fundamental groups* of schemes, which is a generalization of both the Galois groups and the topological fundamental groups. However, we must first introduce some necessary backgrounds.

Galois category

Suppose \mathcal{C} is a category and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ a functor, then there is a canonical injective function

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(X)) \quad \phi \mapsto [X \mapsto \phi_X]$$

For each set E , we give $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ the compact open topology (i.e. the coarsest topology such that $\mathrm{Aut}(E) \times E \rightarrow E$ by $(f, e) \mapsto f(e)$ is cont.), which is discrete when E is finite. Next, we endow $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ the topology induced from the canonical map above.

This map identifies $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ with a closed subgroup of $\prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(X))$, and in particular if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is finite for all X , then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ is profinite.

Definition 2.3 (profinite completion)

The *profinite completion* of a topological group G is

$$G^\wedge = \varprojlim_{\substack{\text{open } U \trianglelefteq G \\ \text{of finite index}}} G/U$$

has the following universal property: every morphism $G \rightarrow H$ where H is profinite factors uniquely as $G \rightarrow G^\wedge \rightarrow H$.

Lemma 2.4

Let G be a topological group, and $\mathcal{F} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{FSet}}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ the forgetful functor where $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{FSet}}(G)$ is the category of finite G -sets, then

$$G^\wedge \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$$

as topological groups.

Sketch of Proof.

Take the canonical morphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ of topological groups, there is an induced $G^\wedge \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ via universal property, which is shown to be injective, and is a homeomorphism if shown its image is dense by Lemma 5.17.8 [Tag 08YE] of Stacks Project. This is shown by showing that for every $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ and finite G -set X , there is $g \in G$ s.t. g and γ induce the same action on $\mathcal{F}(X)$. □

Lemma 2.5

Let G be a topological group, and $\mathcal{F} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{FSet}}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an exact functor where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is finite for all X , then \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to the forgetful functor $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{FSet}}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Sketch of Proof.

After showing the inverse limit over $\mathcal{F}(G/U)$ for all open $U \trianglelefteq G$ of finite index is nonempty, pick an element γ . Identify the forgetful functor with

$$X \mapsto \varinjlim_{\substack{\text{open } U \trianglelefteq G \\ \text{of finite index}}} \text{Mor}(G/U, X)$$

where $f : G/U \rightarrow X$ corresponds to $f(eU)$. Thus γ induces an isomorphism t from the forgetful functor to \mathcal{F} as follows: for $x \in X$ choose U and $f : G/U \rightarrow X$ sending eU to x , let $t_X(x) = \mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma_U)$. \square

Example 2.6

Let \mathcal{C} be a category and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ a functor with $\mathcal{F}(X)$ finite for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Previously we defined the profinite topological group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$, thus there is a canonical functor

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{FSet}}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})) \quad X \mapsto \mathcal{F}(X)$$

endowed with the induced action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$.

The reason we need Galois category is that we want to single out the categories \mathcal{C} and functors \mathcal{F} as in Example 2.6 where the canonical functor defined is an equivalence of categories.

Definition 2.7 (Galois category)

A *Galois category* is a category \mathcal{C} with a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ s.t.

- i. $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is finite for all X , and
- ii. \mathcal{C} has finite limits and colimits, and
- iii. \mathcal{F} is exact and conservative, and
- iv. every object of \mathcal{C} is a finite coproduct of connected components.

Lemma 2.8 (properties of Galois categories)

Suppose $\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$ is a Galois category, then

- i. \mathcal{F} is faithful
- ii. \mathcal{F} preserves mono/epimorphisms and initial/final objects
- iii. if X, Y are connected then $X \rightarrow Y$ is epic,
- iv. if X is connected, suppose $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$ are two morphisms, then $a = b$ if $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and $\mathcal{F}(b)$ agree on one element of $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

Proof.

Lemma 58.3.7 [Tag 0BN0] of Stacks Project.

□

Given a Galois category $\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$, from Lemma 2.8, if X is connected,

$$|\mathrm{Aut}(X)| \leq |\mathcal{F}(X)|$$

We say that X is *Galois* if equality holds. It is straightforward to see that X is Galois iff X is connected and $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

The following is a key lemma.

Lemma 2.9

Let $\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$ be a Galois category with connected object X , then

- i. there exists Galois object Y and morphism $Y \rightarrow X$,*
- ii. the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ (as per Example 2.6) is transitive,*
- iii. the canonical functor (as per Example 2.6) is an equivalence,*
- iv. suppose $\langle \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{G} \rangle$ is a Galois category, $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an exact functor, then there is isomorphism $t : \mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof.

The proof is long and technical, see Lemma 58.3.8 [Tag 0BN2] to Lemma 58.3.11 [Tag 0BN5] of Stacks Project. □

Finite étale morphism

Recall from class the definition of étale morphisms.

Definition 3.10 (étale morphisms)

A morphism of schemes is étale iff it is

- i. smooth and unramified, or
- ii. smooth and locally quasi-finite, or
- iii. flat and unramified, or
- iv. formally étale and locally of finite presentation,

where all these conditions are equivalent.

An étale morphism is analogous to a covering map in topology.

Recall an important example from class.

Example 3.11

Suppose X is a scheme over k , then its structure morphism is étale iff

$$X \cong \coprod_i \operatorname{Spec}(K_i)$$

where K_i are finite separable extensions of k .

Proof.

Lemma 29.36.7(1) [Tag 02GL] of Stacks Project.

□

Lemma 3.12 (properties of étale morphisms)

- i. composition of étale morphisms is étale,*
- ii. base change of étale morphisms is étale,*
- iii. étale morphisms are local on the source and the base,*
- iv. if $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$ are étale, then so is $X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof.

Proved in class.



Suppose X is a scheme, we use $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X$ to denote the full subcategory of \mathbf{Sch}/X where the objects are finite and étale. An object $Y \rightarrow X$ of $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X$ is a *Galois cover* if Y is a Galois object.

Lemma 3.13

The category $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X$

- i. has finite limits and colimits,
- ii. for any $Y \rightarrow X$, the base change functor $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_Y$ is exact.

Sketch of Proof.

First, $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X$ has final object and admits fibred products, hence admits finite limits, and base change is left exact as they commute with them. Next, $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X$ admits finite coproducts (disjoint unions). It suffices to show that $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X$ admits coequalizers, so that $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X$ admits colimits and the base change functor is right exact. This is done by a direct construction. □

Let X be a connected scheme with \bar{x} a geometric point, then the functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} : \mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \quad Y \mapsto |Y_{\bar{x}}|$$

defines a Galois category. This functor is called the fibre functor.

We verify $\langle \mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} \rangle$ satisfies Definition 2.7. First $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_{\bar{x}} \cong \mathbf{FSet}$ by Example 3.11. Thus $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ is a base change functor for $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$, so by Lemma 3.13 $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ is exact (and $\mathbf{F\acute{E}t}_X$ admits finite limits and colimits). We can verify that each object is connected iff they are connected as a scheme, so they are finite coproduct of connected components by Lemma 5.7.7 [Tag 07VB] of Stacks Project. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ is conservative.

Étale fundamental group

Definition 4.14 (étale fundamental group)

Suppose that X is a connected scheme and \bar{x} is a geometric point, then the *(étale) fundamental group* of X at \bar{x} is defined as

$$\pi_1(\bar{x}, X) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}})$$

endowed with the canonical profinite topology (as per Section 2), where $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ is the fiber functor (as per Section 3).

Theorem 4.15 (main theorem)

Suppose X is a connected scheme with geometric point \bar{x} .

i. the functor $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$ induces a canonical equivalence (as per Example 2.6)

$$\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}_X \cong \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{F}\text{Set}}(\pi_1(\bar{x}, X))$$

ii. given connected scheme Y and morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and let $\bar{y} = f \circ \bar{x}$, then there is a canonical morphism $f_* : \pi_1(\bar{x}, X) \rightarrow \pi_1(\bar{y}, Y)$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}_Y & \xrightarrow{\text{base change}} & \mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}_X \\ \mathcal{F}_{\bar{y}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} \\ \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{F}\text{Set}}(\pi_1(\bar{y}, Y)) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{F}\text{Set}}(\pi_1(\bar{x}, X)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Theorem 4.15 explains the correspondances we introduced in Section 1.

Thank you for listening!



Aise Johan de Jong et al. (2012)

The Stacks Project



Hendrik Willem Lenstra (1997)

Galois theory for schemes



Alexander Grothendieck (1971)

Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)

Springer-Verlag, Lecture notes in mathematics



Tamás Szamuely (2009)

Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups

Cambridge University Press